

# iGT & UIP Reference Group

**Peter O'Neill**  
Hosted Online  
18 June 2026



# Agenda

- 1. Update to pressure test briefing – CSEPs**
- 2. Update on Tiered Pricing framework, following post go-live session on 29/05/26**
- 3. Update to pressure test briefing – Cadent to adopt**
- 4. Contractors and sub-contractors**

# 1. Update to pressure test briefing – CSEPs

Peter O'Neill

# Update to pressure test briefing – CSEPs

## Summary of change

|                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Change history</b> | 10/06/26 Version 2 published with the following changes;<br><br>1. Amended to show table of information specifically for iGT & UIP connections. This adds; stabilisation time, gauge make, model, and serial number.<br>2. Added table 26.0.6 from MSL/1<br>3. Amended paragraph under heading 'Purpose' to make after it has been affixed to the Cadent parent main but prior to it being commissioned (I.E. drilled). |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

## List of requirements

|                                            |  |
|--------------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Test Pressure</b>                       |  |
| <b>Stabilisation time</b>                  |  |
| <b>Test Time On</b>                        |  |
| <b>Test Time Off</b>                       |  |
| <b>Test Duration</b>                       |  |
| <b>Pressure Loss</b>                       |  |
| <b>Gauge Make</b>                          |  |
| <b>Gauge Model</b>                         |  |
| <b>Gauge Serial number</b>                 |  |
| <b>Test PASS / FAIL</b>                    |  |
| <b>Name of person responsible for test</b> |  |
| <b>Date of test</b>                        |  |

The updated briefing can be found on the Cadent website [here](#).

# Update to pressure test briefing – CSEPs

No comments or questions from iGT or UIP customers.

# 2

## Update on Tiered Pricing framework; following post go-live session on 29/05/26

Peter O'Neill

# Update on Tiered Pricing framework; following post go-live session on 29/05/26

| Option discussed on 29/05/26                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Consideration                                                                                                                                            | Outcome                                                                                                                           |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) Move from discreet quarters to cumulative rolling four quarters.                                                                                                                                                             | Discussion on 29/05/26 was favourable. No UIPs had opposing view.                                                                                        | This will be implemented from 01/07/26.                                                                                           |
| 2) Amend % target for each banding.<br>Suggestion on call on 29/05/26;<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gold: <math>\geq 87.5\%</math></li> <li>Silver: 75% - 87.4%</li> <li>Bronze: <math>&lt; 75\%</math></li> </ul> | The target for D42 is 95% so gold cannot be less than that. However, we are prepared to move the silver banding.                                         | From 01/10/26, targets will be*;<br>Gold: $\geq 95\%$ (no change)<br>Silver: 85% - 95% (moved from 90% - 95%)<br>Bronze: $< 85\%$ |
| 3) Have a maximum number of rejections allowed before job is considered a failure                                                                                                                                               | We understand there is a human element in completion files and errors will be made. This is why we treat such cases as enquiries rather than rejections. | The measure will continue to be % received in SLA and right-first-time (I.E. no formal rejections)                                |
| 4) Combine UIP D10 (D14) SLA to submit file, with connections D10 (D14) SLA to digitise and then implement 'stop the clock', 'start the clock'.                                                                                 | This option would require a new system implementation.                                                                                                   | Not being carried forward at this time.                                                                                           |

\*On the call on 19/06/26, the stated aspiration was to change the Gold, Silver, Bronze bandings by 01/07/26 but this cannot be achieved until 01/10/26, subject to regulatory notice requirements.

# **Update on Tiered Pricing framework; following post go-live session on 29/05/26**

No comments or questions from iGT or UIP customers.

# **3.**

## **Update to pressure test briefing – Cadent to adopt**

**Paul Aldridge**

# Update to pressure test briefing – Cadent to adopt

Cadent will no longer accept Comark C9505IS Gauges with an extended test duration. All Gauges must comply with the requirements of IGEM/TD/3 TD/4 and GIS/TE/P6.3:2021

*“The absolute accuracy of gauges shall be 3mbar for 0-10.5bar or 0.0285% of full scale for over 10.5bar maximum range, for short duration testing. They are required to have a resolution of 0.1mbar.”*



We have updated the Gauge accuracy briefing note with an expanded non-exhaustive list of gauges that meet the required accuracy.

Version 3 of the pressure test briefing note and version 2 of the pressure test gauges briefing not have been sent with these slides and will be uploaded onto the Cadent website [here](#).

# Update to pressure test briefing – Cadent to adopt

No comments or questions from iGT or UIP customers.

# 4.

## Contractors and sub-contractors

**Peter O'Neill**

# Contractors and sub-contractors

## Hypothetical Scenario 1

| Party                          | Roles and responsibilities                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Principal UIP = UIP A          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project management</li> <li>Contracted to Cadent for whole project</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                          |
| Design = UIP A or Design House | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Responsible to UIP A for design of asset</li> <li>No contract with Cadent</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                   |
| CCCR = UIP A or UIP B          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Responsible to UIP A for pipe lay</li> <li>Responsible to UIP A for connection (if not CNRB scope)</li> <li>No contract with Cadent</li> <li>Can send completion file on behalf of UIP A (UIP A to be copied on rejections)</li> </ul> |
| CNRB = UIP A or UIP C          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Responsible to UIP A for specialist connection (if applicable)</li> <li>No contract with Cadent</li> </ul>                                                                                                                             |

## Hypothetical Scenario 2

| Party                          | Roles and responsibilities                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Principal UIP = UIP A          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project management</li> <li>Contracted to Cadent for whole project</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                          |
| Design = UIP A or Design House | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Responsible to UIP A for design of asset</li> <li>No contract with Cadent</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                   |
| CCCR = UIP A or UIP B          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Responsible to UIP A for pipe lay</li> <li>Responsible to UIP A for connection (if not CNRB scope)</li> <li>No contract with Cadent</li> <li>Can send completion file on behalf of UIP A (UIP A to be copied on rejections)</li> </ul> |
| CNRB = UIP A or UIP C          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Responsible to UIP A for specialist connection (if applicable)</li> <li>No contract with Cadent</li> </ul>                                                                                                                             |
| Other main laying provider?    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lays mains under RAMS GIRS accredited UIPs</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                  |

The purpose of this slide was to aide a discussion about how the UIP contracting chain works, for the purpose of capturing asset data only. These tables are not intended to introduce anything new into the UIP connections process.

# Contractors and sub-contractors

Notes of discussion on call.

PO asked open question about what the contracting and sub-contracting world looks like in UIP connections, and explained the driver was about understanding which party we want to use to digitise the asset.\*

- Step Marvin suggested we look at IGEM/GIG/2 which specifies what the difference between contracting/sub-contracting under GIRS vs sub-contracting as 'Labour only' looks like.
- PO thanked Steph and confirmed he will look at this.
- Stuart Hyland suggested we could amend the application form as per other GDN(s) which lists any 'labour only/lay only' contractors.
- PO thanked Steph and confirmed he will look at this.

\*Since call, Cadent Asset Data team have advised it will be the Principal UIP who will be captured as the installer in the Cadent maps.

# Thank you



[cadentgas.com](https://cadentgas.com)